

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7 PETER J. HELFRICH,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 DWIGHT NEVEN et al.,

11 Defendants.  
12 \_\_\_\_\_

2:14-cv-1725-RFB-NJK

**ORDER**

13 **I. DISCUSSION**

14 Plaintiff has filed a motion for a speedy trial, a motion for judicial notice, and a motion  
15 for submission. (ECF Nos. 48, 52, 53).

16 The Court denies Plaintiff's motion for a speedy trial. (ECF No. 48). The right to a  
17 speedy trial only applies to criminal cases and this is a civil case. See U.S. Const. amend. VI  
18 (stating that "[i]n all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and  
19 public trial . . .").

20 The Court denies Plaintiff's motions for judicial notice and submission. (ECF Nos. 52,  
21 53). Based on the motions, which are identical, it appears that Plaintiff has attempted to  
22 transcribe illegible doctors' reports by hand because prison officials failed to provide him  
23 access to the typed or transcribed versions. (ECF No. 52, at 2). Although the Court  
24 acknowledges that Plaintiff has attempted to interpret the doctors' reports himself, the Court  
25 does not accept Plaintiff's interpretations and will review the reports itself.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **II. CONCLUSION**

2 For the foregoing reasons,

3 **IT IS ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motion for a speedy trial (ECF No. 48) is DENIED.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motion for judicial notice (ECF No. 52) is  
5 DENIED.

6 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motion for submission (ECF No. 53) is  
7 DENIED.

8  
9 DATED: This 5th day of February, 2015.

10  
11   
12 \_\_\_\_\_  
13 NANCY J. KOPPE  
14 United States Magistrate Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28